



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/930,115	08/14/2001	Sukendeep Samra	020699-004800US	9996

7590 02/10/2004

Charles J. Kulas  
CARPENTER & KULASLLP  
1900 Embarcadero Road  
Suite 109  
Palo Alto, CA 94303

EXAMINER

BRIER, JEFFERY A

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2672

13

DATE MAILED: 02/10/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Advisory Action**

Application No.

09/930,115

Applicant(s)

SAMRA ET AL.

Examiner

Jeffery A. Brier

Art Unit

2672

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 30 January 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

**PERIOD FOR REPLY** [check either a) or b)]

- a) ☐ The period for reply expires \_\_\_\_\_ months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
- b) ☒ The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

1. ☐ A Notice of Appeal was filed on \_\_\_\_\_. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2. ☒ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
- (a) ☒ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
- (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
- (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
- (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: see page 2.

3. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): \_\_\_\_\_.
4. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
5. ☒ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: see page 2.
6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
7. ☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) allowed: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) objected to: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) rejected: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: \_\_\_\_\_.

8. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_.
10. ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

Jeffery A. Brier  
Primary Examiner  
Art Unit: 2672

***Response to Amendment***

1. The after final amendment filed on 1/30/04 raise new issues because before the amendment the claims claimed displaying a miniature version of the portion of the image on the display screen and now applicant proposed to amend claims 7 and 12 to claim *wherein the inner area provide a miniature representation of the display screen*. Claims 18, 19 and 20 did not claim this because claim 18, for example, claimed at lines 8-10 *means for displaying a miniature version of the displayed portion of the electronic image in the inner area in correspondence with the displayed portion of the electronic image's position with respect to the edges of the display screen*. Clearly this limitation of claim 18 and the remaining limitations and the limitation of claims 19 and 20 do not teach that which is proposed to be added to claims 7 and 12. The proposed limitation *miniature representation of the display screen* is much more narrower than the previously claimed limitation *in correspondence with the displayed portion of the electronic image's position with respect to the edges of the display screen*. New claim 21 raises new issues since it is claiming previously unclaimed limitations.

***Response to Arguments***

2. Applicant's arguments filed 1/30/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants argument concerning paragraph 49 and the response to arguments presented in the Final rejection, see page 6 first and second paragraphs, has been considered and are not persuasive because the images of paragraph 49 are not described as being displayed in multiple inner areas of the navigator area and paragraph 91 only describes showing in mini windows each of the four different parts of the diagram relative to each other. A diagram is different than an image. The claimed image is broader than the described diagram, thus, claim 17 is claiming more than that is disclosed.

Applicants argument concerning the 112 first paragraph rejection and the word miniature, see page 6 third paragraph to page 7 second paragraph, has been considered. The claimed limitation "edges of the inner box correspond to edges of the display screen" is not supported by the specification because it is broader than the described "navigator box 312 includes miniature representation 314 of display screen 300.", thus, it covers material not described by the specification. Amendments made to the claims must not be broader than that which the specification teaches.

Applicants argument concerning the 102 and 103(a) rejections have been considered. Hama clearly shows in inner box 25 a miniature version of display screen area 23. The finally rejected claim did not claim the argued miniature version of the display screen.

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffery A. Brier whose telephone number is (703) 305-4723. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 6:30 to 3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Razavi, can be reached at (703) 305-4713).

**Any response to this action should be mailed to:**

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks  
Washington, D.C. 20231

**or faxed to:**

**(703) 872-9306 (for Technology Center 2600 only)**

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.



Jeffery A Brier  
Primary Examiner  
Art Unit 2672